1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

Plaintiff(s),

Case No. 2:12-CR-463 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

FREDERICK VERNON WILLIAMS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Presently before the court are the following motions *in limine* filed by defendant Frederick Williams: motion *in limine* to exclude evidence (doc. # 512);<sup>1</sup> motion *in limine* to prohibit, preclude, exclude, order, and grant (doc. # 513);<sup>2</sup> motions *in limine* to permit telephonic testimony or in the alternative, live testimony via video transmission (doc. ## 631, 623);<sup>3</sup> motion *in limine* (doc. # 655); and motion *in limine* to exclude evidence and testimony. (Doc. # 681).

Also before the court are defendant Carolyn Willis-Casey's motion *in limine* to exclude testimony (doc. # 510)<sup>4</sup> and motion *in limine*. (Doc. # 658).

The defendants in this matter were charged by way of a thirty-two count superseding indictment filed May 20, 2014. (Doc. # 240). The parties proceeded to a jury trial on January 4, 2016. On January 15, 2016, the jury returned guilty verdicts as to all defendants on varying counts. (Doc. ## 720–23). The motions *in limine* are therefore moot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The government filed a response (doc. # 526), and defendant filed a reply. (Doc. # 530).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The government filed a response (doc. # 526), and defendant filed a reply. (Doc. # 530).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The government filed a response (doc. # 638), and defendant filed a reply. (Doc. # 652).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The government filed a response. (Doc. # 526).

1	Accordingly,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Frederick
3	William's motion <i>in limine</i> to exclude evidence (doc. # 512) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED
4	as moot.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Frederick William's motion in limine to
6	prohibit, preclude, exclude, order, and grant (doc. # 513) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as
7	moot.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Frederick William's motions in limine to
9	permit telephonic testimony or in the alternative, live testimony via video transmission (doc. ##
10	621, 623) be, and the same hereby are, DENIED as moot.
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Frederick William's motion in limine (doc. #
12	655) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot.
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Frederick William's motion in limine to
14	exclude evidence and testimony (doc. # 681) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot.
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Carolyn Willis-Casey's motion in limine to
16	exclude testimony (doc. # 510) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot.
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Carolyn Willis-Casey's motion in limine (doc.
18	# 658) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot.
19	DATED April 12, 2016.
20	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge